

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,661	ZHANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Anne R. Kubelik.

(3) _____.

 (2) Hyunkweon Ryu.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 26

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner told Applicant that parts A and B of claims 1 and 7 were identical and suggested that one be replaced with a nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO:1. "or animal" was deleted from claims 7 and 26 because it encompasses transformation of a human

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)